Visible-Light-Mediated Radical Aryldifluoroacetylation of Alkynes with Ethyl Bromodifluoroacetate for the Synthesis of 3‑Difluoroacetylated Coumarins

Weijun Fu,^{*,†} Mei Zhu,[†] Guanglong Zou,[‡] Chen Xu,[†] Zhiqiang Wang,[†] and Baoming Ji[†]

† College of C[he](#page-3-0)mistry and Chemical Engineering, Luoyang Normal University, Luoyang 471022, People's Republic of China ‡ The College of Chemistry and Environmental Science, Guizhou Minzu Univeristy, Guiyang 550025, People's Republic of China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-3-0)STRACT: [A mild and e](#page-3-0)fficient method for the synthesis of 3-difluoroacetylated coumarins through visible-light-promoted aryldifluoroacetylation of alkynes with ethyl bromodifluoroacetate has been developed. The reaction allows the direct formation of C_{sp} ²−CF₂COOEt and C−C bonds via a proposed tandem radical cyclization process.

The difluoromethylene group (CF_2) is an intriguing structural motif that has great potential for many applications in medicinal, agricultural, and material sciences.¹ It has been realized that the CF_2 group can act as a bioisostere for mimicking the steric and electronic features of an oxyge[n](#page-4-0) atom or a carbonyl group. In this field, the development of efficient methods for the introduction of a difluoromethylated group into diverse organic molecules has attracted much attention.² Among these difluorinated moieties, CF_2CO_2Et is extremely appealing because the moiety can undergo further modificat[io](#page-4-0)n into various CF_2 -containing functional groups. Recently, transition-metal-mediated or transition-metal-catalyzed difluoroacetylation of aryl halides and aryl boronic acids to construct a C_{sp}²−CF₂COOEt bond has been intensively studied.³ Poisson and Pannecoucke also reported an elegant process for the direct difluoroacetylation of enamides, furans, and be[nz](#page-4-0)ofurans through copper-catalyzed C−H functionalization.⁴ Another frequently used strategy is the utilization of high reactivity of the CF_2COOH radical.^{5−7} For example, visible light photocatalyzed radical addition of the $BrCF_2CO_2Et$ reagent to heteroarenes, 5 alkenes, 6 a[nd i](#page-4-0)socyanides⁷ has been well-established by the several groups. However, the application of such a photocatalyti[c](#page-4-0) strategy [f](#page-4-0)or direct and r[eg](#page-4-0)iospecific difluoroacetylation of alkynes is still quite limited.

Over the past few years, transition-metal-catalyzed difunctionalization of alkynes in alkynoates involving the direct aryl $C(sp^2)$ -H functionalization has attracted considerable attention.⁸ Various functionalized coumarins could be constructed by this efficient protocol. For example, Huang and co-workers desc[ri](#page-4-0)bed Ag_2CO_3 -catalyzed carbon phosphorylation to form phosphorated coumarins.⁹ The Lu group reported the synthesis of trifluoromethylated coumarins through a $\rm CF_3$ radical.¹⁰ Very recently, Wang and co-[w](#page-4-0)orkers developed a method for the direct difunctionalization of alkynoates with sulfonylhy[dra](#page-4-0)zides under metal-free conditions using tert-butyl hydroperoxide.¹¹ Mechanistic studies demonstrated that these reactions could be initiat[ed](#page-4-0) by the reaction of radicals with $C\equiv C$ bonds followed

by intramolecular radical cyclization onto the aryl substituent. Consistent with these findings and with our ongoing studies on the synthesis of fluorinated heterocycles, 12 we hypothesized that similar reactions could be carried out using a CF_2COOEt radical generated from ethyl bromodifluor[oac](#page-4-0)etate under visible light irradiation to construct difluoroacetylated coumarins. This transformation allows the direct formation of a C_{sp}^2 - $CF₂COOEt$ bond and the construction of a coumarin ring in one reaction.

In our preliminary experiments, phenyl alkynoate 1a and $BrCF₂CO₂Et$ were chosen as the model substrates to optimize the reaction conditions. Initially, we examined our previous reaction conditions, which were developed for photocatalyzed aryldifluoroacetylation of N-arylacrylamides $6e$ (Table 1, entry 1). Gratifyingly, the desired coumarin 2a was obtained as the major product in 60% yield. Considering [t](#page-4-0)hat the [ca](#page-1-0)talysts usually play an important role in photoredox catalysis, several typical photocatalysts such as $[\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbby})]\text{PF}_6$, Ru- $(bpy)_{3}(PF_6)_{2}$, and eosin Y were investigated to improve the reaction efficiency (Table 1, entries 2−4). Unfortunately, none of them gave better results than $\left[\frac{fac-Ir(ppy)}{3} \right]$. It was observed that the use of inorganic b[as](#page-1-0)es such as K_2CO_3 , Cs_2CO_3 , K_3PO_4 , and NaHCO₃ promoted the reactions and K_2CO_3 gave the best result. Other bases were tested including NaOAc and organic base $(Et₃N)$, all of which gave poor yields of 2a (Table 1, entries 5−10). Further investigations revealed that dimethylformamide (DMF) was found to be the solvent of choi[ce](#page-1-0) (Table 1, entries 11−13). In the control experiments, the reaction was found to be completely restrained without the catalyst [o](#page-1-0)r light irradiation (Table 1, entries 14 and 15).

To study the scope and limitations of this approach, various alkynoates 1b−t [w](#page-1-0)ere reacted with BrCF₂CO₂Et under optimized reaction conditions to the corresponding coumarins

Received: February 10, 2015 Published: April 3, 2015

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions for $2a^a$

 a^a Reaction conditions: 1a (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv), BrCF₂COOEt (0.6) mmol, 2.0 equiv), base (0.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv), and photocatalyst (0.006 mmol, 2.0 mol %) in indicated solvent (3.0 mL) were irradiated with a 5 W blue LED for 48 h. ^bIsolated yield. ^cWithout photocatalyst irradiation. ^d Without visible light irradiation.

2b−t (Table 2). Initially, both moderate electron-withdrawing groups and electron-donating groups located in the para position of aryl 3-phenylpropiolates did not compromise the reaction efficiency, affording the desired coumarins (2b−i) in moderate to good yields. However, a substrate-bearing iodine (2j) atom on the aromatic ring resulted in relatively poor yield. The use of meta-substituted substrate 1k resulted in a mixture of the products $2k$ and $2k'$ with moderate regioselectivity $(4:1)$. By contrast, the 3,4-dimethyl substrate 1l underwent this transformation to afford 2l as the major regioisomer. In addition, 3,5-dimethyl-substituted substrate 1m could also participate in this transformation to give the desired product (2m) in 70% yield. The reaction was more sensitive to the position of the substituents. For ortho-substituted substrate (1n), no product was obtained due to the steric effect. We have extended this radical cyclization reaction into various substituents on the alkynyl, as well. Disappointingly, no desired product was observed when methylpropiolate (1o) was employed in the reaction. For all arylpropiolates tested in this series, the corresponding coumarins (2p−t) were obtained in good yields. We further extended the work to the addition of CHFCOOEt or $CF_2PO(OEt)_2$ radical. However, the reaction of phenyl alkynoate 1a with ethyl bromodifluoroacetate and diethyl bromodifluoromethylphosphonates failed to produce the expected product $(2u,v)$ under the optimized reaction conditions.

To gain mechanistic insight into the photo-redox-catalyzed cyclization, the reaction was performed in the presence of the radical scavenger TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy). Under these conditions, the reaction was completely restrained. To our delight, the TEMPO-CF₂COOEt adduct was formed as estimated by ESI-HRMS and ¹⁹F NMR analysis, thus providing straightforward evidence of a CF_2COOE t radical formation (Scheme 1).

 a Reaction conditions: 1 (0.3 mmol), BrCF₂COOEt (0.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv), K_2CO_3 (0.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv), and $fac-Ir(ppy)$ ₃ (0.006 mmol, 2.0 mol %) in DMF (3.0 mL) were irradiated with a 5 W blue LED for 48 h. ^bIsolated yield. ^cThe ratio of regioisomers based on isolated yields.

On the basis of the experimental results and literature, $9-11$ a catalytic cycle is proposed for this transformation (Scheme 2). First, the photocatalyst $[fac-Ir(III)(ppy)_3]$ is irradiated [to th](#page-4-0)e excited state $[fac-Ir(III)(ppy)_3^*]$, which is oxidatively quenc[he](#page-2-0)d by BrCF₂COOEt with the generation of a $[fac-Ir(IV)(ppy)_3]^+$ complex and a \bullet CF₂COOEt radical species A. The radical A adds to alkynoates 1 to produce the radical intermediate B, which undergoes intramolecular homolytic aromatic substitution to give the radical intermediate C. The intermediate C is then oxidized by $[fac-Ir(IV)(ppy)_3]^+$ to form the cyclohexadienyl cation **D** and regenerates $[fac-Ir(III)(ppy)_3]$. Ultimately, deprotonation assisted by base yields the product 2.

In summary, we have reported a facile assembly of 3 difluoroacetylated coumarins through visible-light-promoted tandem aryldifluoroacetylation of alkynoates with $BrCF₂CO₂Et$. The reaction was found to tolerate a wide range of functional groups. Most importantly, the difluoroester group

Scheme 1. Experiment for Mechanistic Study

Scheme 2. Plausible Reaction Mechanism

 $(CF₂COOEt)$ could be easily introduced into the scaffold of coumarin under mild and environmentally friendly conditions.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General. All reactions were performed in a 20 mL tube equipped with a rubber septum at room temperature. Photoirradiation was carried out with a 5 W blue LED (light-emitting diode). Solvents were purified or dried in a standard manner. ¹H NMR spectra, ¹³C NMR spectra, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were measured in CDCl₃ and recorded on 400 or 500 MHz NMR spectrometers with trimethylsilane as an internal standard. HRMS analyses were recorded on a Q-TOF global mass spectrometer. Alkynoates were prepared according to the literature.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Difluoroacetylated **Coumar[ins](#page-4-0).** To a mixture of alkynoate 1 (0.30 mmol), $BrCF₂COOH$ (0.6 mmol), and K_2CO_3 (0.6 mmol) in 3.0 mL of DMF was added fac-Ir(ppy)₃ (0.006 mmol, 2.0 mol %) under N₂ atmosphere. The solution was stirred at room temperature under 5 W blue LED irradiation for 48 h. Then the reaction mixture was diluted by adding EtOAc and brine. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 8:1 as the eluant) on silica gel to give the desired coumarins.

Ethyl 2,2-Difluoro-2-(2-oxo-4-phenyl-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate (2a): White solid (80.5 mg, 78%); mp 83–84 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.60−7.63 (m, 1 H), 7.51−7.52 (m, 3 H), 7.41 (dd, 1 H, $J_1 = 8.3$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.5$ Hz), 7.32–7.33 (m, 2 H), 7.20–7.24 (m, 1 H), 7.07 (dd, 1 H, $J_1 = 8.3$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.5$ Hz), 4.35 (q, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.34 (t, 3 H, J = 7.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.7 (t, J = 32.0 Hz), 159.0 (t, J = 4.0 Hz), 156.1, 153.1, 133.5, 132.8, 129.1, 128.8, 128.3, 127.6 (t, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 124.9, 120.2, 118.0 (t, $J = 22.5$ Hz), 116.9, 111.8 (t, J = 251.5 Hz), 63.3, 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.8 (s, 2 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]^+ C_{19}H_{15}F_2O_4$ 345.0933, found 345.0929.

Ethyl 2,2-Difluoro-2-(6-methyl-2-oxo-4-phenyl-2H-chromen-3 yl)acetate (**2b**): White solid (87.0 mg, 81%); mp 105−106 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.49−7.51 (m, 3 H), 7.30−7.32 (m, 2 H), 7.21 (s, 1 H), 7.02 (d, 1 H, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.93 (d, 1 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 4.35 $(q, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz)$, 2.46 $(s, 3 H)$, 1.33 $(t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz)$; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.8 (t, J = 32.0 Hz), 159.3 (t, J = 4.3 Hz), 156.2, 153.2, 145.3, 133.1, 129.0, 128.5, 128.2, 127.6 (t, J = 2.2 Hz), 126.1, 117.8, 117.0, 116.8 (t, $J = 22.8$ Hz), 111.9 (t, $J = 251.4$ Hz), 63.2, 21.7, 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.6 (s, 2 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]^+$ C₂₀H₁₇F₂O₄ 359.1090, found 359.1092.

Ethyl 2-(6-tert-Butyl-2-oxo-4-phenyl-2H-chromen-3-yl)-2,2 difluoroacetate (2c): White solid (102.1 mg, 85%); mp 96−⁹⁸ °C; ¹ ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.50–7.51 (m, 3 H), 7.42 (d, 1 H, J = 2.0 Hz), 7.31–7.33 (m, 2 H), 7.26 (dd, 1 H, $J_1 = 8.5$ Hz, $J_2 = 2.0$ Hz), 7.00 (d, 1 H, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 4.34 (q, 2 H, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 1.34 (s, 9 H), 1.33 $(t, 3 H, J = 7.5 Hz).$ ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.8 (t, J = 32.1 Hz), 159.4 (t, J = 4.3 Hz), 158.4, 156.0, 153.2, 133.1, 129.0, 128.4, 128.2, 127.6 (t, J = 2.5 Hz), 122.4, 117.7, 117.0 (t, J = 22.8 Hz), 113.6, 112.0 (t, J = 251.0 Hz), 63. 2, 35.4, 30.9, 13.8; 19F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.7 (s, 2 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]^{+} C_{23}H_{23}F_{2}O_{4}$ 401.1559, found 401.1558.

Ethyl 2,2-Difluoro-2-(6-fluoro-2-oxo-4-phenyl-2H-chromen-3-yl) acetate (2d): White solid (82.5 mg, 76%); mp 109−110 °C; ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.51–7.53 (m, 3 H), 7.30–7.32 (m, 2 H), 7.06– 7.15 (m, 2 H), 6.93−6.95 (m, 1 H), 4.35 (q, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.34 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.4, 164.3, 162.6 $(t, J = 31.9 \text{ Hz})$, 158.7 $(t, J = 4.3 \text{ Hz})$, 155.7, 154.3 $(d, J = 13.4 \text{ Hz})$, 132.7, 130.9 (d, $J = 10.4$ Hz), 129.2, 128.4, 127.5 (t, $J = 2.4$ Hz), 117.0 $(t, J = 23.1 \text{ Hz})$, 113.1 $(d, J = 22.4 \text{ Hz})$, 111.8 $(t, J = 251.9 \text{ Hz})$, 104.4 $(d, J = 25.6 \text{ Hz})$, 63.3, 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.8 (s, 2) F), 102.3 (s, 1 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]^+ C_{19}H_{14}F_3O_4$ 363.0839, found 363.0836.

Ethyl 2-(6-Chloro-2-oxo-4-phenyl-2H-chromen-3-yl)-2,2-difluoroacetate (2e): White solid (90.7 mg, 80%); mp 98–100 °C; ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.51–7.53 (m, 3 H), 7.42 (d, 1 H, J = 2.0 Hz), 7.29−7.32 (m, 2 H), 7.17−7.20 (m, 1 H), 7.00 (d, 1 H, J = 8.8 Hz), 4.35 (q, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.34 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.6 (t, J = 31.9 Hz), 158.4 (t, J = 4.5 Hz), 155.5, 153.3, 139.6, 132.4, 129.8, 129.3, 128.4, 127.5 (t, J = 2.4 Hz), 125.4, 118.9, 117.9 (t, J = 22.9 Hz), 117.1, 111.7 (t, J = 251.9 Hz), 63.4, 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 98.0 (s, 2 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]$ ⁺ C₁₉H₁₄ClF₂O₄ 379.0543, found 379.0538.

Ethyl 2-(6-Bromo-2-oxo-4-phenyl-2H-chromen-3-yl)-2,2-difluoroacetate (2**f**): White solid (92.4 mg, 73%); mp 121–123 °C; ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.58 (d, 1 H, J = 1.6 Hz), 7.52 (t, 3 H, J = 3.2 Hz), 7.29–7.35 (m, 3 H), 6.92 (d, 1H, J = 3.2 Hz), 4.35 (q, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.34 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.6 (t, $J = 32.0$ Hz), 158.3 (t, $J = 4.4$ Hz), 155.6, 153.2, 132.4, 129.8, 129.3, 128.4, 128.3, 127.8, 127.5 (t, J = 2.4 Hz), 120.0, 119.2, 118.2 (t, $J = 22.9$ Hz), 111.7 (t, $J = 252.0$ Hz), 63.3, 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 98.0 (s, 2 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]$ ⁺ $C_{19}H_{14}BrF_2O_4$ 423.0038, found 423.0042.

Ethyl 2,2-Difluoro-2-(2-oxo-4,6-diphenyl-2H-chromen-3-yl) acetate (2g): White solid (107.1 mg, 85%); mp 102−104 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.61 (d, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.44–7.54 (m, 7 H), 7.36 (t, 2 H, J = 3.6 Hz), 7.12 (d, 1 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 4.36 (q, 2 H, J $= 7.2$ Hz), 1.35 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.8 (t, J = 31.8 Hz), 159.2, 156.0, 153.6, 146.6, 138.6, 132.9, 129.23, 129.17, 129.1, 129.0, 128.3, 127.6 (t, J = 2.4 Hz), 127.3, 123.6, 119.1, 117.5 (t, J = 23.6 Hz), 114.9, 111.9 (t, J = 251.6 Hz), 63.3, 13.9; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.7 (s, 2 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for [M + H ⁺ C₂₅H₁₉F₂O₄ 421.1246, found 421.1240.

Ethyl 2,2-Difluoro-2-(2-oxo-4-phenyl-6-(trifluoromethoxy)-2Hchromen-3-yl)acetate $(2h)$: White solid $(105.3 \text{ mg}, 82\%)$; mp 89– 90 °C; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.52−7.54 (m, 3 H), 7.31−7.33 $(m, 2 H)$, 7.28 $(s, 1 H)$, 7.13 $(d, 1 H, J = 7.2 Hz)$, 7.07 $(d, 1 H, J = 8.8$ Hz), 4.35 (q, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.34 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR $(125 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 162.5 (t, J = 31.8 Hz), 158.4 (t, J = 4.3 Hz), 155.3, 153.8, 152.4, 132.4, 130.5, 129.3, 128.4, 127.5 (t, J = 2.3 Hz), 120.2 (q, J = 257.8 Hz), 118.6, 118.0 (t, J = 22.6 Hz), 116.8, 111.6 (t, J $= 252.1$ Hz), 108.7, 63. 4, 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 98.1

(s, 2 F), 57.8 (s, 3 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]^+ C_{20}H_{14}F_5O_5$ 429.0756, found 429.0759.

Ethyl 2,2-Difluoro-2-(6-methoxy-2-oxo-4-phenyl-2H-chromen-3 yl)acetate (2i): White solid (97.6 mg, 87%); mp 77–79 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.49–7.50 (m, 3 H), 7.30–7.32 (m, 2 H), 6.95 $(d, 1 H, J = 9.2 Hz)$, 6.87 $(d, 1 H, J = 2.4 Hz)$, 6.76 $(dd, 1 H, J₁ = 9.2$ Hz, J_2 = 2.4 Hz), 4.35 (q, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 3.89 (s, 3 H), 1.33 (t, 3 H, J $= 7.2$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.1, 163.0 (t, J = 32.1) Hz), 159.4 (t, J = 4.3 Hz), 156.4, 155.1, 133.2, 130.0, 129.0, 128.2, 127.6 (t, J = 2.5 Hz), 114.6 (t, J = 23.2 Hz), 113.6, 113.1, 112.1 (t, J = 250.8 Hz), 100.5, 63.2, 56.0, 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.1 (s, 2 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]^+ C_{20}H_{17}F_2O_5$ 375.1039, found 375.1035.

Ethyl 2,2-Difluoro-2-(6-iodo-2-oxo-4-phenyl-2H-chromen-3-yl) acetate (2**j**): White solid (73.3 mg, 52%); mp 95−97 °C; ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.79 (d, 1 H, J = 1.2 Hz), 7.51–7.55 (m, 4 H), 7.28−7.30 (m, 2 H), 6.74 (d, 1 H, J = 8.8 Hz), 4.35 (q, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.34 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.6 $(t, J = 32.0 \text{ Hz})$, 158.2 $(t, J = 4.5 \text{ Hz})$, 155.7, 152.8, 134.2, 132.4, 129.6, 129.3, 128.4, 127.5 (t, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 126.0, 119.7, 118.4 (t, $J = 23.0$ Hz), 111.7 (t, J = 252.1 Hz), 99.7, 63.4, 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 98.0 (s, 2 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]^+ C_{19}H_{14}F_2IO_4$ 470.9900, found 470.9904.

Ethyl 2,2-Difluoro-2-(7-methyl-2-oxo-4-phenyl-2H-chromen-3 yl)acetate (2k): White solid (63.6 mg, 59%); mp 91–92 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.52 (t, 3 H, J = 3.2 Hz), 7.41 (d, 1 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.29−7.33 (m, 3 H), 6.82 (s, 1 H), 4.35 (q, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.28 (s, 3 H),1.33 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.8 (t, $J = 31.9$ Hz), 159.2 (t, $J = 4.5$ Hz), 156.1, 151.3, 134.7, 134.6, 133.0, 129.0, 128.4, 128.2, 127.6(t, $J = 2.4$ Hz), 119.8, 117.9 (t, $J =$ 22.5 Hz), 116.6, 111.9 (t, $J = 251.5$ Hz), 63.2, 20.9, 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.8 (s, 2 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]$ ⁺ $C_{20}H_{17}F_{2}O_{4}$ 359.1090, found 359.1089.

Ethyl 2,2-Difluoro-2-(5-methyl-2-oxo-4-phenyl-2H-chromen-3 yl)acetate (2k'): Oil (15.8 mg, 15%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.50 (t, 3 H, J = 3.2 Hz), 7.46 (d, 1 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.30–7.32 (m, 2 H), 7.10 (t, 1 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 6.89 (d, 1 H, J = 8.0 Hz), 4.35 (q, 2 H, J $= 7.2$ Hz), 2.50 (s, 3 H), 1.34 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.8 (t, J = 32.1 Hz), 159.1 (t, J = 4.6 Hz), 156.4, 151.5, 134.7, 133.2, 128.9, 128.2, 127.6 (t, J = 2.6 Hz), 126.5, 126.4, 124.7, 120.0, 117.7 (t, J = 22.6 Hz), 111.9 (t, J = 251.5 Hz), 63.2, 15.5, 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.8 (s, 2 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]^+$ C₂₀H₁₇F₂O₄ 359.1090, found 359.1093.

Ethyl 2,2-Difluoro-2-(6,7-dimethyl-2-oxo-4-phenyl-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate (2l): White solid (74.8 mg, 67%); mp 103–105 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.51 (t, 3 H, J = 3.2 Hz), 7.29–7.32 (m, 2 H), 7.19 (s, 1 H), 6.75 (s, 1 H), 4.34 (q, 2 H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 2.36 (s, 3 H), 2.17 (s, 3 H), 1.33 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.9 (t, J = 32.1 Hz), 159.4 (t, J = 4.5 Hz), 156.2, 151.6, 144.1, 133.8, 133.2, 128.9, 128.5, 128.2, 127.6 (t, J = 2.4 Hz), 117.8, 117.4, 116.8 (t, $J = 22.9$ Hz), 120.0 (t, $J = 251.0$ Hz), 63.1, 20.3, 19.4, 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.6 (s, 2 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]^+$ C₂₁H₁₉F₂O₄ 373.1246, found 373.1245.

Ethyl 2,2-Difluoro-2-(5,7-dimethyl-2-oxo-4-phenyl-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate (2m): White solid (78.1 mg, 70%); mp 115−117 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.50–7.51 (m, 3 H), 7.28–7.31 (m, 3 H), 6.64 (s, 1 H), 4.35 (q, 2 H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 2.46 (s, 3 H), 2.23 (s, 3 H), 1.34 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.9 (t, J = 32.0 Hz), 159.3, 156.5, 149.7, 136.0, 134.0, 133.3, 128.9, 128.2, 127.6 (t, $J = 2.4$ Hz), 126.09, 126.06, 119.7, 117.5 (t, $J = 22.6$ Hz), 111.9 (t, J = 251.2 Hz), 63.2, 20.9, 15.5, 13.8; 19F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.8 (s, 2 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]^+ C_{21} H_{19} F_2 O_4$ 373.1246, found 373.1248.

Ethyl 2,2-Difluoro-2-(6-methyl-2-oxo-4-p-tolyl-2H-chromen-3-yl) acetate (2**p**): White solid (92.6 mg, 83%); mp 101−103 °C; ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.31 (d, 2 H, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.17–7.21 (m, 3 H), 6.98−7.03 (m, 2 H), 4.34 (q, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.46 (s, 3 H), 2.45 (s, 3 H), 1.33 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.9 (t, $J = 32.1$ Hz), 159.3 (t, $J = 4.5$ Hz), 156.6, 153.2, 145.1, 139.0, 130.1, 128.9, 128.5, 127.6 (t, J = 2.5 Hz), 126.0, 117.9, 116.9, 116.8 (t, J =

22.6 Hz), 112.0 (t, $J = 251.0$ Hz), 63.1, 21.7, 21.4, 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470) MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.5 (s, 2 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]^+$ $C_{21}H_{19}F_{2}O_{4}$ 373.1246, found 373.1249.

Ethyl 2,2-Difluoro-2-(6-methyl-2-oxo-4-m-tolyl-2H-chromen-3 yl)acetate (2q): White solid (83.7 mg, 75%); mp 93–95 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.38 (t, 1 H, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.29 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.20 (s, 1 H), 7.11 (d, 2 H, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.02 (d, 1 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 6.96 (d, 1 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 4.35 (q, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.46 (s, 3 H), 2.42 (s, 3 H), 1.33 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.9 (t, J = 31.9 Hz), 159.3 (t, J = 4.3 Hz), 156.4, 153.2, 145.2, 138.0, 133.0, 128.9, 129.7, 128.6, 128.09 (t, J = 2.3 Hz), 128.06, 126.0, 124.7 (t, $J = 2.4$ Hz), 117.9, 117.0, 116.7 (t, $J = 22.8$ Hz), 111.9 (t, $J =$ 251.0 Hz), 63.1, 21.7, 21.5, 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.7 (s, 2 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]^+$ C₂₁H₁₉F₂O₄ 373.1246, found 373.1243.

Ethyl 2,2-Difluoro-2-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)-6-methyl-2-oxo-2Hchromen-3-yl)acetate $(2r)$: White solid (92.5 mg, 82%); mp 108− 110 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28−7.32 (m, 2 H), 7.18− 7.23 (m, 3 H), 7.04 (d, 1 H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 6.93 (d, 1 H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 4.35 $(q, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz)$, 2.47 $(s, 3 H)$, 1.34 $(t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz)$; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.9 (t, J = 32.1 Hz), 159.3 (t, J = 4.5 Hz), 156.6, 153.2, 145.1, 139.0, 130.1, 128.9, 128.5, 127.6 (t, J = 2.5 Hz), 126.0, 117.9, 116.9, 116.8 (t, $J = 22.6$ Hz), 112.0 (t, $J = 251.0$ Hz), 63.1, 21.7, 21.4, 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.5 (s, 2 F), 112.0 (s, 1 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]^+ C_{20}H_{16}F_3O_4$ 377.0995, found 377.0997.

Ethyl 2-(4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-6-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)- 2,2-difluoroacetate (2s): White solid (100.1 mg, 85%); mp 120− 122 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.49 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.26 $(d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.22 (s, 1 H), 7.04 (d, 1 H, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.92 (d, 1$ H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 4.35 (q, 2 H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 2.47 (s, 3 H), 1.34 (t, 3 H, $J =$ 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.7 (t, J = 32.0 Hz), 159.0 $(t, J = 4.5 \text{ Hz})$, 154.9, 153.2, 145.5, 135.2, 131.5, 129.1 $(t, J = 2.5 \text{ Hz})$, 128.6, 128.1, 126.2, 117.4, 117.2 (t, J = 22.8 Hz), 117.1, 111.9 (t, J = 251.4 Hz), 63.2, 21.8, 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.4 (s, 2) F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[M + H]^+ C_{20}H_{16}ClF_2O_4$ 393.0699, found 393.0701.

Ethyl 2,2-Difluoro-2-(6-methyl-2-oxo-4-biphenyl-2H-chromen-3 yl)acetate (2t): White solid (93.7 mg, 72%); mp 117−118 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.32 (d, 2 H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.67 (d, 2 H, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.49 (t, 2 H, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.40 (t, 3 H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.23 (s, 1 H), 7.04 (s, 2 H), 4.36 (q, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.47 (s, 3 H), 1.34 (t, 3 H, $J = 7.2$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.9 (t, $J = 32.1$ Hz), 159.3 (t, J = 4.5 Hz), 156.1, 153.3, 145.3, 141.8, 140.0, 132.0, 129.0, 128.5, 128.2 (t, J = 2.6 Hz), 127.8, 127.1, 126.8, 126.1, 117.8, 117.1, 117.0 (t, $J = 22.8$ Hz), 112.0 (t, $J = 251.4$ Hz), 63.2, 21.8, 13.9; ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 97.4 (s, 2 F); HRMS (ESI) calcd for [M + H ⁺ C₂₆H₂₁F₂O₄ 435.1403, found 435.1406.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Detailed experimental procedures and characterization of new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTH[OR INFORMATIO](http://pubs.acs.org)N

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: wjfu@lynu.edu.cn.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:wjfu@lynu.edu.cn)peting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project Nos. U1204205, 21202078, and 21363004) and Program for Innovative Research Team (in Science and Technology) in University of Henan Province (14IRTSTHN008).

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 320. (b) Erickson, J. A.; McLoughlin, J. I. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 1626. (c) Romanenko, V. D.; Kukhar, V. P. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 3868. (d) Meanwell, N. A. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 2529. (e) Aráoz, R.; Anhalt, E.; René, L.; Badet-Denisot, M.-A.; Courvalin, P.; Badet, B. Biochemistry 2000, 39, 15971. (f) Hu, J.; Zhang, W.; Wang, F. Chem. Commun. 2009, 7465.

(2) (a) Iida, T.; Hashimoto, R.; Aikawa, K.; Ito, S.; Mikami, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9535. (b) Ge, S.; Arlow, S. I.; Mormino, M. G.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 14401. (c) Zhou, Q.; Ruffoni, A.; Gianatassio, R.; Fujiwara, Y.; Sella, E.; Shabat, D.; Baran, P. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3949. (d) Ma, G.; Wan, W.; Hu, Q.; Jiang, H.; Wang, J.; Zhu, S.; Hao, J. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 7527. (e) Fujita, T.; Sanada, S.; Chiba, Y.; Sugiyama, K.; Ichikawa, J. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1398. (f) Fujiwara, Y.; Dixon, J. A.; Rodriguez, R. A.; Baxter, R. D.; Dixon, D. D.; Collins, M. R.; Blackmond, D. G.; Baran, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 1494. (g) Fier, P. S.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5524. (h) Prakash, G. K. S.; Ganesh, S. K.; Jones, J.-P.; Kulkarni, A.; Masood, K.; Swabeck, J. K.; Olah, G. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12090. (i) Feng, Z.; Chen, F.; Zhang, X. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1938.

(3) (a) Feng, Z.; Min, Q.-Q.; Xiao, Y.-L.; Zhang, B.; Zhang, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1669. (b) Belhomme, M.; Poisson, T.; Pannecouke, X. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3428. (c) Sato, K.; Omote, M.; Ando, A.; Kumadaki, I. J. Fluorine Chem. 2004, 125, 509. (d) Leung, L.; Linclau, B. J. Fluorine Chem. 2008, 129, 986. (e) Ma, G.; Wan, W.; Li, J.; Hu, Q.; Jiang, H.; Zhu, S.; Wang, J.; Hao, J. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 9749.

(4) (a) Caillot, G.; Dufour, J.; Belhomme, M.-C.; Poisson, T.; Grimaud, L.; Pannecoucke, X.; Gillaizeau, I. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 5887. (b) Belhomme, M. C.; Poisson, T.; Pannecoucke, X. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 7205.

(5) (a) Lin, Q.; Chu, L.; Qing, F.-L. Chin. J. Chem. 2013, 31, 885. (b) Jung, J.; Kim, E.; You, Y.; Cho, E. J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 2741.

(6) (a) Nguyen, J. D.; Tucker, J. W.; Konieczynska, M. D.; Stephenson, C. R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 4160. (b) Wallentin, C. J.; Nguyen, J. D.; Finkbeiner, P.; Stephenson, C. R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 8875. (c) Jiang, H.; Huang, C.; Guo, J.; Zeng, C.; Zhang, Y.; Yu, S. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 15158. (d) Yu, C.; Iqbal, N.; Park, S.; Cho, E. J. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 12284. (e) Fu, W.; Zhu, M.; Zou, G.; Xu, C.; Wang, Z. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2014, 3, 1273. (7) Sun, X.; Yu, S. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2938.

(8) (a) Walkinshaw, A. J.; Xu, W.; Suero, M. G.; Gaunt, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 12532. (b) Suero, M. G.; Bayle, E. D.; Collins, B. S. L.; Gaunt, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 5332. (c) Beccalli, E. M.; Broggini, G.; Gazzolab, S.; Mazzaa, A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 6767. (d) Iqbal, N.; Jung, J.; Park, S.; Cho, E. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 539. (e) Janson, P. G.; Ghoneim, I.; Ilchenko, N. O.; Szabó, K. J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2882. (f) Xiong, Y.; Wu, M.; Zhang, X.; Ma, C.; Huang, L.; Zhao, L.; Tan, B.; Liu, X. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1000. (g) Gao, P.; Shen, Y.; Fang, R.; Hao, X.; Qiu, Z.; Yang, F.; Yan, X.; Wang, Q.; Gong, X.; Liu, X.; Liang, Y.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7629. (h) Xu, J.; Wang, Y. L.; Gong, T. J.; Xiao, B.; Fu, Y. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 12915. (i) Hua, H.; He, Yu.; Qiu, Yi.; Li, Y.; Song, B.; Gao, P.; Song, X.; Guo, D.; Liu, X.; Liang, Y.-M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2015, 21, 1468. (j) Mi, X.; Wang, C.; Huang, M.; Wu, Y.; Wu, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 148. (k) Yan, K.; Yang, D.; Wei, W.; Wang, F.; Shuai, F.; Li, Q.; Wang, H. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 1550.

(9) Mi, X.; Wang, C.; Huang, M.; Zhang, J.; Wu, Y.; Wu, Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3356.

(10) Li, Y.; Lu, Y.; Qiu, G.; Ding, Q. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4240.

(11) Wei, W.; Wen, J.; Yang, D.; Guo, M.; Wang, Y.; You, J.; Wang, H. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 768.

(12) (a) Fu, W.; Xu, F.; Fu, Y.; Xu, C.; Li, S.; Zou, D. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 709. (b) Fu, W.; Zhu, M.; Xu, F.; Fu, Y.; Xu, C.; Zou, D. RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 17226. (c) Fu, W.; Xu, F.; Fu, Y.; Zhu, M.; Yu, J.; Xu, C.; Zou, D. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 12202. (d) Fu, W.; Zhu, M.; Zou, G.; Xu, C.; Wang, Z. Synlett 2014, 25, 2513.

(13) Song, C. E.; Jung, D.-U.; Choung, S. Y.; Roh, E. J.; Lee, S.-G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 6183.